

Exhibit#4

COURT FILE NO.: CV-20-3546 (Mississauga)

DATE: 20210208

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

RE: i:man:Michael [REDACTED] *et al.*, Plaintiffs

-and-

Lise Maisonneuve *et al.*, Defendants

BEFORE: F.L. Myers J.

READ: February 8, 2021

ENDORSEMENT

- [1] This action has been referred to me by the Registrar under Rule 2.1.01 (7) following receipt of a written request under Rule 2.1.01 (6) from counsel for the defendants the Honourable Lise Maisonneuve, the Honourable Elizabeth Dowdeswell, the Honourable Kendra Coats, and Deborah Farquharson.
 - [2] The claim does not identify what the defendants are alleged to have done that leads the plaintiffs to sue them. It appears to have something to do with one or more events at the Milton Courthouse by which the plaintiffs feel they have been denied access to justice. The statement of claim does not set out a concise statement of allegations of fact that establish a legally recognized basis for a lawsuit.
 - [3] On reading the claim, it appears to me that it may be frivolous, vexatious or an abuse of process. It is drafted in the abusive style of an Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument discussed by Rooke ACJ, in *Meads v Meads* 2012 ABQB 571. Rather than setting out allegations of fact in plain English, it uses the silly naming conventions and meaningless trespass notices that are typical of the genre.
-

Exhibit#4

d. In addition to the service by mail required by 2.1.01(4) rule, the registrar is to serve a copy of this endorsement and a Form 2.1A notice on the plaintiffs and counsel for the defendants by email if it has their email addresses..

F.L. Myers J. 2021.02.08
14:11:32 -05'00'

F.L. Myers J.

Date: February 8, 2021