

**CITATION:** Sekulovsky v Maisonneuve, 2021 ONSC 1418  
**COURT FILE NO.:** CV-20-3546 (Mississauga)  
**DATE:** 20210208

**ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

**RE:** i:man:Michael [REDACTED] *et al.*, Plaintiffs

-and-

Maisonneuve *et al.*, Defendants

**BEFORE:** F.L. Myers J.

**READ:** February 24, 2021

**ENDORSEMENT**

[1] By endorsement dated February 8, 2021, I directed the registrar to provide notice to the plaintiffs that the court was considering dismissing this action under Rule 2.1 for being frivolous, vexatious, or an abuse of process.

[2] I advised the plaintiffs expressly as follows:

[3] On reading the claim, it appears to me that it may be frivolous, vexatious or an abuse of process. It is drafted in the abusive style of an Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument discussed by Rooke ACJ, in *Meads v Meads* 2012 ABQB 571. Rather than setting out allegations of fact in plain English, it uses the silly naming conventions and meaningless trespass notices that are typical of the genre.

[4] I do not know if Mr. Sekulovski and the co-plaintiff Mr. Pritchard paid someone to provide this formatting for them or if they have been advised by a court about this issue as yet. This is fair warning that unless they are able to provide submissions that set out a claim that is capable of being recognized by the law, their proceeding will be dismissed. They should understand that no matter what they may have been told by others or learned online, claims in the format that they have submitted do not amount to a legal proceeding that can or will be received or tolerated.

[3] Rather than providing an outline of the allegations on which they wish to sue, the plaintiffs have responded as follows:

F.L Myers J. (a man who sometimes acts as a  
Judge/Justice) Ontario Superior Court of Justice  
330 University Avenue  
- 8th Floor Toronto,  
Ontario  
M5G 1R7  
Email: [civiljudgesmotions@ontario.ca](mailto:civiljudgesmotions@ontario.ca)

**HIGH PRIORITY COMMUNICATION**

Re: Trespass on the case [Sekulovski Court File#  
CV-20-00003546] Date: February 22<sup>nd</sup> , 2021

Good Day to the [wo]man who goes by the name F.L Myers...

i:man [Exhibits 1, 2] confirm receipt 'Endorsement of Justice Myers' Mon  
2/8/2021 3:01 PM; i, not plaintiff[s], Mr. or any reference diminishing my  
capacity in law as man to a legal world; **i: void your endorsement as F.L  
Myers trespass on the case without right or jurisdiction;**  
Produce post haste F. L Myers evidence required/relied to jurisdiction of  
'Sekulovski Court';

1. F. L Myers or any [wo]man claim i [Michael or Christopher] property;
2. F. L Myers or any [wo]man produce obligation [contract] relied to  
jurisdiction;
3. F. L Myers or any [wo]man produce 'Rules of civil procedure' relied upon  
apply to i;
4. F. L Myers or any [wo]man can administrate property [Sekulovski Court]  
without right;
5. F. L Myers or any [wo]man claim legal applies to any man or woman or  
people;

Failure to produce required evidence ...move 'Sekulovski Court' process  
forward posthaste;

Attached further is a communication to Thomas W. B Carrique [OPP  
Commissioner] and Stephen Tanner [Chief of Police for Halton Regional Police  
Service] and on the public record;

i, have acted honorably in all communications up to and establishing both  
'Sekulovski Court';

F. L Myers communication [Endorsement] attempt to change jurisdiction is unlawful [trespass]; Words used in said endorsement is of a foreign jurisdiction [legal] it further has no standing; This is fair warning F. L Myers such ignorance will not be tolerated in law [not legal];  
Legal does not apply to a man or woman the supremacy of God is the law [common] on land; Correct the record F. L Myers as we wish to forgive said trespass and move forward in peace;

i, will swear under oath or affirmation that all  
here in said be true.



i:man:Michael



i: man: Christopher

- [4] This type of gibberish is abusive on its face. Its purpose is to clog the courts and make people waste time responding rather than helping people with actual cases.
- [5] The action is dismissed without further analysis. *Jarvis v Morlog*, 2016 ONSC 4476 (CanLII),

*F.L. Myers J.* 2021.02.24  
14:02:35  
-05'00'

---

F.L. Myers J.

**Date:** February 24, 2021